arXiv:hep-ph/9612399v1 18 Dec 1996

On the Muon Decay Parameters.

M. V. Chizhov
PPE Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
and
Centre for Space Research and Technologies, Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia,
1164 Sofia, Bulgaria, E-mail: mih@phys.uni-sofia.bg

Predictions for the muon decay spectrum are usually derived from the derivative-free Hamiltonian. However, it is not the most general form of the possible interactions. Additional simple terms with derivatives can be introduced. In this work the distortion of the standard energy and angular distribution of the electrons in polarized muon decay caused by these terms is presented.

PACS numbers: 12.15.-y, 13.35.-r

More than sixty years have passed since the time of brilliant Pauli's idea about neutrino [1] and celebrated Fermi theory of β-decay [2]. Still the famous Fermi interaction

$$G_F\left[\bar{\Psi}_p\gamma_\lambda\Psi_n\right]\left[\bar{\Psi}_e\gamma_\lambda\gamma^5\Psi_\nu\right] \tag{1}$$

is the corner-stone of all low energy weak processes. In order to investigate a more general form of the interactions, for the particular case of the muon decay, additional terms have been introduced by Michel [3]. They can be expressed by fields of definite chiralities [4]

$$\mathcal{H}=\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\substack{k\,=\,S,\,V,\,T\\ \varepsilon,\,\chi\,=\,R,\,L}}\left\{g^k_{\varepsilon\chi}\left[\bar{e}_\varepsilon\Gamma^k\nu^e_n\right]\left[\bar{\nu}^\mu_m\Gamma^k\mu_\chi\right]+\text{h.c.}\right\}. \tag{2}$$

Here, k labels the type of interaction (scalar, vector, tensor), ϵ and χ indicate the chirality of the charged leptons. (The chiralities of the neutrinos, n and m, are uniquely fixed by ε, χ, and k). These interactions differ from (1) only by the fact that we have introduced 10 coupling constants instead of the unique one, G_F. The standard V-A interaction implies that $g^V_{LL}=1$, and other $g^k_{\varepsilon\chi}$'s are zero. Nonstandard couplings may arise in the extensions of the standard model due to the exchange of new intermediate bosons, other than $W^\pm$. In this case the energy and angular distribution of the emitted charged leptons depends on bilinear combinations of the coupling constants $g^k_{\varepsilon\chi}$ called the Michel parameters.

It is widely accepted that this is the most general form of the energy spectrum. In all present experiments only such form of the energy distribution is tested. However, it is easy to see that sensitivity to new physics for the introduced terms is feeble. In the case of massless neutrinos only the single scalar interaction with the coupling constant g^S_{RR} interferes with the standard V-A interaction and it can give considerable contribution to the muon decay spectrum. This is parametrized by the Michel parameter η. Unfortunately, when the polarization of the final charged leptons is not detected, the sensitivity to η is diminished by the small ratio of the mass of the final charged lepton to its maximal energy $x_0=m_e/E_{max}$. To determine the parameter η without a suppression factor the transverse polarization of the produced charged lepton has been measured, but with the smaller accuracy than the Michel parameters ρ, δ, and ξ. The latter parameters are influenced by other nonstandard terms in (2), which do not interfere with the standard V-A interaction and the sensitivity to a new physics from the experimental bounds on the nonstandard coupling constants is negligible.

It is possible to introduce new interactions of a simple form, which would interfere with the primary V-A interaction without suppression. To do this we assume that the effective Hamiltonian depends on the momentum transfer q_λ or the derivative ∂_λ of the charged current. Then the simplest form of the new interactions is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_T=\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}m_\mu}\{&f_\mu\;[\bar{e}_L\gamma_\alpha\nu^e_L]\;\partial_\beta[\bar{\nu}^\mu_L\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\mu_R]\\ &+f^*_e\;\partial_\beta[\bar{e}_R\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\nu^e_L]\;[\bar{\nu}^\mu_L\gamma_\alpha\mu_L]+h.c.\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Such interactions can arise, for example, due to an anomalous W-boson coupling with the fermions. Various anomalous couplings of the gauge bosons are tested now at high energies. Generally speaking the effective coupling constants of the new interactions (3) may depend on the momentum transfer $f(q^2)$ and such kind of effective interactions should apply only for a narrow region of momenta. In our case we restrict ourself to low energy processes of lepton decays and assume that the coupling constant f is a constant.

The interactions (3) lead to the specific energy distribution, which can be tested experimentally. This is not a new idea and analogous early attempt, made by Konopinski and Uhlenbeck [5], had the aim to introduce the derivative coupling for the neutrino field. The additional interactions (3) include the conserved tensor currents $J^\pm_\alpha=\partial_\beta[\bar{\Psi}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(1\pm\gamma^5)\Psi]$ and naturally extend the helicity projection form of the interaction (2). It is impossible to construct other nontrivial terms depending on the momentum transfer, because the derivative of the vector current $i\partial_\lambda[\bar{\Psi}_\nu\gamma_\lambda\Psi_l]=m_l\bar{\Psi}_\nu\Psi_l$ reduces to the scalar term due to the Dirac equation. Therefore, besides the trivial dependence of the coupling constants on the momentum transfer $g(q^2)$, other additional terms do not exist here.[1]

In the following we give the prediction for the energy and angular distribution of electrons from the decay of polarized muons accounting for the effect of the new terms (3). The generalization to leptonic τ-decay is obvious. The differential decay probability to obtain an $e^{\pm}$ with reduced energy $x = E_e/E_{max}$, emitted at an angle ϑ with respect to the muon's polarization $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_\mu$, and having its spin pointing into the direction of the arbitrary unit vector $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ is given by [6]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}\cos\vartheta} = & \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu}{12\pi^3} E_{max}^4 \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2} \\ & \times [F_{IS}(x) \pm \mathcal{P}_\mu \cos\vartheta \; F_{AS}(x)] \\ & \times [1 + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_e(x,\vartheta) \cdot \boldsymbol{\zeta}] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where we have used $\mathcal{P}_\mu = |\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_\mu|$, and where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_e$ is the polarization vector of the $e^{\pm}$:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_e = P_{T_1}\, \boldsymbol{x} + P_{T_2}\, \boldsymbol{y} + P_L\, \boldsymbol{z} \tag{5}$$

with components

$$P_{T_1}(x,\vartheta) = \frac{\mathcal{P}_\mu \sin\vartheta \; F_{T_1}(x)}{F_{IS}(x) \pm \mathcal{P}_\mu \cos\vartheta \; F_{AS}(x)}, \tag{6}$$

$$P_{T_2}(x,\vartheta) = \frac{\mathcal{P}_\mu \sin\vartheta \; F_{T_2}(x)}{F_{IS}(x) \pm \mathcal{P}_\mu \cos\vartheta \; F_{AS}(x)}, \tag{7}$$

$$P_L\;(x,\vartheta) = \frac{\pm F_{IP}(x) + \mathcal{P}_\mu \cos\vartheta \; F_{AP}(x)}{F_{IS}(x) \pm \mathcal{P}_\mu \cos\vartheta \; F_{AS}(x)} \tag{8}$$

defined in a right-handed coordinate system:

$$\boldsymbol{z} = \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_e}{|\boldsymbol{k}_e|}, \qquad \boldsymbol{y} = \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_e \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_\mu}{|\boldsymbol{k}_e \times \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_\mu|}, \qquad \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{y} \times \boldsymbol{z}. \tag{9}$$

The functions $F(x)$ can be decomposed as

$$F(x) = F^{V-A}(x) + G(x), \tag{10}$$

where the first term corresponds to the standard V-A interaction and the second one includes leading contributions from the interference with the new terms (3). The functions $F^{V-A}(x)$ are given by

$$F_{IS}^{V-A}(x) = -2x^2 + 3x - x_0^2, \tag{11}$$

$$F_{AS}^{V-A}(x) = \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2}\; (2x - 2 + \sqrt{1 - x_0^2}), \tag{12}$$

$$F_{IP}^{V-A}(x) = \sqrt{x^2 - x_0^2}\; (-2x + 2 + \sqrt{1 - x_0^2}), \tag{13}$$

$$F_{AP}^{V-A}(x) = 2x^2 - x - x_0^2, \tag{14}$$

$$F_{T_1}^{V-A}(x) = x_0(x-1), \tag{15}$$

$$F_{T_2}^{V-A}(x) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Since the new functions $G(x)$ contribute to the muon decay spectrum with a small constant f we have kept only the leading terms of the small mass parameter x_0:

$$G_{IS}(x) = 2x^2 \mathrm{Re} f_\mu + x_0(x^2 - 3x + 3)\mathrm{Re} f_e, \tag{17}$$

$$G_{AS}(x) = 2x^2 \mathrm{Re} f_\mu + x_0(-x^2 + 2x)\mathrm{Re} f_e, \tag{18}$$

$$G_{IP}(x) = 2x^2 \mathrm{Re} f_\mu + x_0(-x^2 + 2x)\mathrm{Re} f_e, \tag{19}$$

$$G_{AP}(x) = 2x^2 \mathrm{Re} f_\mu + x_0(x^2 + x - 1)\mathrm{Re} f_e, \tag{20}$$

$$G_{T_1}(x) = (2x^2 - x)\mathrm{Re} f_e + \frac{1}{2} x_0 (2x^2 - x)\mathrm{Re} f_\mu, \tag{21}$$

$$G_{T_2}(x) = (2x^2 - x)\mathrm{Im} f_e + \frac{1}{2} x_0 (2x^2 - 3x)\mathrm{Im} f_\mu. \tag{22}$$

Both terms in (3) contribute to the energy distribution of the polarized electrons from the muon decay without suppression. Therefore, experimental bounds on the new energy dependences directly constrain the strength of the new interactions. Such kind of the spectrum has not been tested experimentally. In order to estimate the strength of the new interactions we shall use the experimental values of the Michel parameters. Let us consider the muon decay, whereas the errors on the Michel parameters are typically at the per-mill level. The most precisely known is the ρ parameter $\rho = 0.7518 \pm 0.0026$. This puts a strong constraint on the new parameter

$$\mathrm{Re} f_\mu < 4.5 \times 10^{-3}. \tag{23}$$

Contrary to the ρ parameter the new parameter f contributes to the muon decay width

$$\Gamma = \frac{G_F^2 m_\mu^5}{192\pi^3}(1 + f_\mu) \tag{24}$$

and influences the value of the Fermi coupling constant G_F. This constant is used in all precision experiments in electroweak physics and it is obvious that its value cannot differ significantly from the standard magnitude $G_F^{SM} = 1.16639(2) \times 10^{-5}\,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$. A self-consistent check gives the unitarity of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix. Our present knowledge of the matrix elements comes from the comparison of the strength of the quark weak interaction to the muon decay [6]. Therefore, effectively we can write

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = \left(\frac{G_F}{G_F^{SM}}\right)^2 = 0.9965 \pm 0.0021 \tag{25}$$

that leads to similar bound on the parameter $\mathrm{Re} f_\mu < 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ as in Eq. (23).

The measurement of the electron decay asymmetry

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \mathcal{P}_\mu \frac{F_{AS}(x)}{F_{IS}(x)} \tag{26}$$

from polarized muons determines the Michel parameters $\delta = 0.749 \pm 0.004$ and $\mathcal{P}_\mu \xi = 1.003 \pm 0.008$. They lead also to the analogous constraints $\mathrm{Re} f_\mu(\delta) < 7 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\mathrm{Re} f_\mu(\xi) < 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$, respectively. It is interesting to note that in the special case $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{P}_\mu\ \xi\delta/\rho$ at the spectrum end point our prediction coincides with the standard model $\mathcal{A}^{SM}(1) = \mathcal{P}_\mu$.

---

[1] We have dropped the derivative tensor-tensor interaction $f_\mu f_e^* \; \partial_\alpha[\bar{e}_R \sigma_{\alpha\lambda} \nu_L^e] \; \partial_\beta[\bar{\nu}_L^\mu \sigma_{\beta\lambda} \mu_R]$ which includes two new currents and is negligible.

The measurement of the longitudinal polarization P_L of the electron from the decay of unpolarized and polarized muons allows one to determine the Michel parameters ξ' and ξ''. The new interaction (3) does not effect the ξ' parameter and no constraint on the f parameter can be imposed. The present precision of the measured combination [7] $\xi''/\xi - \xi' = -0.35 \pm 0.33$ does not lead to better limit on the f.

The transverse electron polarizations P_{T_1} and P_{T_2} allow to constrain the η parameter and a CP-violation phase. In our case it will be a limit on the f_e parameter. Although the accuracy of the P_{T_1} determination is high [8] $\langle P_{T_1} \rangle = 0.016 \pm 0.023$ it can not constrain very well the new parameter

$$\mathrm{Re} f_e < 7.4 \times 10^{-2}, \tag{27}$$

like $\eta = -0.007 \pm 0.013$ due to the lower sensitivity of the P_{T_1} to the f_e. The same things concern the determination of the $\mathrm{Im} f_e$ or the CP-violation phase.

Concerning the present experimental situation and future plans we should mention the following experiments. The planed experiment [9] in Villigen PSI-R-94-10 can improve by an order of magnitude the previous measurement of the transverse polarization components of the positron and can provide a tighter limit for the new parameter f_e as well. It is very interesting also to fit the new energy and angular positron distribution from the polarized μ^+ in the completed Los Alamos LAMPF-1240 experiment and take it into account in the future TRIUMF-614 experiment. The goal of the E614 Collaboration is the measurement of the parameters ρ, ξ, and δ to precisions of few 10^{-4}!

What concerns the τ-decays, assuming universality of the new interactions, the statistics collected at the LEP will allow to extract the f_τ parameter with the precision better than 10^{-3}. This can be done similarly to the polarization study for semileptonic and pure leptonic τ-decays performed by the LEP Collaborations.

In conclusion the author is glad to thank M. Shaposhnikov, E. Fradkin, L. Alvarez-Gaumé, D. Bardin, L. Litov, A. Olchevsky, I. Boyko and D. Kirilova for useful discussions and encouragements. The author acknowledge the warm hospitality of the DELPHI Collaboration at CERN, where this work has been fulfilled.

---

[1] W. Pauli, American Physical Society Meeting in Pasadena, June 1931.
[2] E. Fermi, Nuovo Cimento 11, 1 (1934).
[3] L. Michel, Proc. Phys. Soc. A63, 514 (1950).
[4] K. Mursula and F. Scheck, Nucl. Phys. B253, 189 (1985).
[5] E. J. Konopinski and G. E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. 48, 7 (1935).
[6] Review of Particle Physics, Phys. Rev. D54, 251 (1996).
[7] H. Burkard *et al.*, Phys. Lett. 150B, 242 (1985).
[8] H. Burkard *et al.*, Phys. Lett. 160B, 343 (1985).
[9] Current Experiments in Particle Physics, LBL-91 Revised, UC-414, September 1996.